REMARKS

This is in response to the Official Action of June 18, 2007. The points raised therein are addressed below in the order originally set forth.

Claims 23, 26, 30, 31, 33, and 36-38 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) over two separate Zaleski et al. references (Zaleski et al., or Zaleski et al.). It is noted that claims 24-25, 27-28, and 34-35 appear to be free of the cited art. Accordingly, and to simplify the issues:

Claim 27 has been cancelled for the purpose of rewriting by incorporation into claim 23 (specifically, by deleting "or M is absent" from claim 23). Hence, claim 23 now is commensurate in scope with previously presented claim 27. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claim 23, and those claims dependent thereon, should now be allowed.

Claim 33 has been amended (by cancellation of certain patterns of *trans* substitutions) so that it is directed to only those two embodiments set forth in claims 34-35. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claim 33, and those claims dependent thereon, should also be allowed.

Claims 23-39 stand rejected for obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-7 of US Patent No. 6,765,092 (Application Serial No. 10/011,121; Attorney Docket No. 5051-508IP3). Reconsideration is respectfully requested. Examination of the file history of the cited case shows that, in the Official Action of August 4, 2003 (copy attached as EXHIBIT I herein), claims 23-32 therein were the subject of a restriction requirement. These claims were cancelled, and are the subject of the instant divisional application. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that no terminal disclaimer should be required, and that this rejection should be withdrawn.

Serial No. 10/760,968 Page 7 of 7

It is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth D. Sibley

Registration No. 31,665

USPTO Customer No. 20792

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A. P. O. Box 37428, Raleigh, NC 27627

Telephone: (919) 854-1400 Facsimile: (919) 854-1401

ENCLOSURE: EXHIBIT I

Doc. 593342







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/011,121	12/07/2001	Jonathan S. Lindsey	5051.508IP3	8493	
	7590 08/04/2003				
MYERS BIG	EL SIBLEY & SAJC	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 3742 RALEIGH, NO	0	TE CE IVE	RAYMOND, RICHARD L		
		AUG 0 6 2003	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		U.S. DOCKET	1624 DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/011,121		7					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
	ű.	Richard L. F	Raymond	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•						
2a) <u></u> —	,—	is action is n							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
=	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requ	iirement.						
Application	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>December 7, 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	!		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO					

Application/Control Number: 10/011,121

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings filed with the application have been accepted as being formal drawings by the examiner.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-22, drawn to process of making oxochlorins, classified in class 540, subclass 145.
 - II. Claims 23-32, drawn to oxochlorins, classified in class 540, subclass 145The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can make compounds other than those of the product claims. Note the difference in scope.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/011,121

Art Unit: 1624

5. Claim 23 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the compounds of the working examples. If group Π is elected, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Related compounds will be grouped together for examination purposes.

- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Raymond whose telephone number is (703) 308-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:30AM-8:00PM)).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on 305-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Richard L. Raymon Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

 \mathbf{rr}

August 1, 2003